

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/779,334

Applicant(s)

SJOEHOLM ET AL.

Examiner

Jennifer I. Harle

Art Unit

1654

All Participants:(1) Jennifer I. Harle.(2) Elias Lambiris.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102

Claims discussed:

28-49

Prior art documents discussed:

Hiller and Lehmann

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.
(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Declaration Under 37 CFR 1.132 was discussed and the missing lines from page one were discussed. Applicant agreed to forward a clearer copy due to the missing lines from the fax copy. The examiner will submit the new page one for scanning. Proposed amendments to the claims were authorized in order to clarify the claim language..